

REMARKS

Claims 1-16 have been presented for examination. By the aforementioned Office Action, Claims 1-3 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103. Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112. Claims 4-7 and 12-16 are objected to. By this response, Claims 1-3 and 8-10 are cancelled. Claims 4, 5 and 11 are amended. Claims 6, 7 and 12-16 remain unchanged.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-3 and 8-10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over “Tutorial: A Little Help With Alcatel-Lucent nmake”.

Accordingly, Claims 1-3 and 8-10 have been cancelled. Therefore, the Examiner’s rejection of Claims 1-3 and 8-10 are now moot.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claim 11 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation “the modified process definition” in line 6.

Claim 11 has been amended to recite “a modified process definition”. Accordingly, the rejection of Claim 11 under 35 U.S.C. 112 has been overcome.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner indicated that Claim 4-7 would be deemed to be allowable if Claim 4 is written to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Also, Claims 11-16 would be deemed to be allowable if Claim 11 is amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Accordingly, Claim 4 has been rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and intervening claims. Also, Claim 11 has been amended to overcome the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 112. Therefore, Claims 4-7 and 11-16 are now in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, allowance of this application is earnestly solicited.

Date: November 5, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Thi Dang', written in a cursive style.

Hewlett-Packard Company
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

Thi Dang (Ms)
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No.: 53,877
Tel. No.: (202) 378-2572